

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
OF SOUTH CAROLINA

Docket Nos. 2016-223-E, 2016-224-E...2008-196-E

In the Matter Of: Petition of South Carolina Electric & Gas Company for Updates and Revisions to Schedules Related to the Construction of a Nuclear Base Load Generation Facility at Jenkinsville, South Carolina	PETITION TO INTERVENE and REPORT OF SCANA (NYSE:SCG) WHITE-COLLAR CRIME
---	---

I, Joseph Wojcicki ("Petitioner"), hereby petition the SC Public Service Commission ("PSC") to intervene according to the PSC Mission and Rules, common justice and in the form presented in Pro se Litigant Guide in above case / matter. In support of this petition, the following is provided.

1. The contact information:
JOSEPH WOJCICKI
820 East Steele Road
West Columbia, SC 29170-1125 E-mail: joe4ocean@aim.com
2. At this time, The Petitioner presently is not represent by counsel in this processing but he is a SCANA shareholder, electric energy generating and distribution systems consultant and ex-educator in Higher Technical Education Colleges and University.
3. N/A
4. The nature and extend of Petitioner's interest in this processing is financing in general and influence on investors including SCANA stockholders. As the U.S. and S.C. taxpayer I have observed lack of representation of the interests / budgets, as well as sabotage of the State and National economy. The scope is generally known and covered in the US Tea Party's history.
5. The financial situation created by SCANA (NYSE:SCG) is visible as "extortion with false claimed application [pretext] of the Base Load Review Act ¹ (FCA of BLRA)". In general, it is known as "the theft with dishonesty".
6. In the SCANA/SCE&G Petition term: "**a Nuclear Base Load Generation Facility**" is used , which can only be used to facility with 100% annual capacity, i.e. 24/7 or 365 days /

RECEIVED
JUN 06 2016
PSC SC
1

8760 hours per year of operation with full power. In the Jenkinsville location this is not possible, even for Unit #1 (see record from 2001!). So this is the LIE!

7. Even for the decision making officers that do not understand the concept of base load (100 % operational time), looking for BLRA ¹ at 70% as a factor in the equation:
energy capacity = power x time; taking the flow data from the Broad River in the same year 2001 (or even a few more), Unit 2 and 3 will not be able to receive even 50% days of uninterrupted operation in Jenkinsville. Respecting other water users in perspective of 60 years of reactors' lives, the availability number drops below 30%! Therefore, using BLRA as a legal ground for overcharging SCE&G ratepayers is very wrong and *de facto* illegal. Keeping this information silent will prove the definition of obstruction of justice. Using FCA of BLRA in order to "steal money from victims" fulfills the definition of criminal negligence.
8. SCANA counsels have blocked this information for years, representing the white-collar crime, extending in time - as extortion, obstruction of justice, and criminal negligence in the form of an organized international scandal.
9. The issues the Petitioner intends to raise in the processing are: Changes in the SCE&G / A SCANA company economy and operations to fulfill public interests, ORS and PSC missions. As a SCANA stockholder I have a business interest, generally recognized, also in SC Code of Laws ¹ and Investors Rights via NYSE. In the USA history, a similar financial fraud is known as 2001 Enron (NYSE:SCG) Corporate scandal, which ended in the bankruptcy and criminal courts. The scandal creators are now called "white-collar criminals".

¹ Base Load Review Act

Section 58-33-210. This article is known, and may be cited, as the 'Base Load Review Act' and is applicable to utilities as defined in Section 58-33-220 of this article.

Section 58-33-220. The following terms, when used in this article, shall have the following meanings, unless another meaning is clearly apparent from the context:

(1) 'AFUDC' means the allowance for funds used during construction of a plant calculated according to regulatory accounting principles.

(2) 'Base load plant' or 'plant' means a new coal or nuclear fueled electrical generating unit or units or facility that is designed to be operated at a capacity factor exceeding seventy percent annually, has a gross initial generation capacity of three hundred fifty megawatts or more, and is intended in whole or in part to serve retail customers of a utility in South Carolina, and for a coal plant, includes Best Available Control Technology, as defined by the United States Environmental Protection Agency, for the control of air emissions.

10. The special knowledge and/or expertise of the Petitioner that would assist the Commission in resolving the issues in the processing are: Economy for electricity generation and distribution (lectured in the Technical University and Technical Colleges and SC Technical Colleges) as well as practical / engineering and managerial coordination in these engineering fields in planning, designing, constructing/inspecting and commissioning large multi-billion dollar energy investments. The interventions under this Commission in 2008 in this case covered by SC Base Load Review Act ¹, Smart grid and, of course, as a designing/constructions/operating trouble-shooter and consultant were later blocked by SCANA allowing this multi-billion dollars scandal till now.
11. ORS gave an initial statement: "Ratepayers will not be responsible for such costs unless they are deemed prudent pursuant to the Base Load Review Act," (Ms. Shannan Bowyer Hudson's in PSC matter ID 193643 dated 2008 June 27). A proper [engineering] analysis was never done nor requested to be conducted, which proves lack of basic knowledge of physics or an intention to obstruct the justice.
12. Fulfilling ORS mission, the SCE&G/SCANA "cancer" must be removed. The example to follow is Enron (NYSE:ENE) 2001 scandal.
13. Obstruction of justice is demonstrated by SCANA blockade of evidence of the FCA of BLRA by **Matthew W. Gissendanner and Chad Burgess -the SCANA counsels**.
14. Despite the unintelligent remarks made by SCANA lawyers (Mr. Chad Burgess, Esquire SCANA Corporation on water effect in nuclear reactors safety and operations) they have been constantly approved in their obstruction of "... justice for all" [pledged also by Commissioners] victims. Now, this is being continued by **Matthew W. Gissendanner**.
15. The Vogtle, Georgia twin project financing (at least \$8.3 billions from US DOE) should cause South Carolina to be embarrassed.
16. Presented in first years in PSC "a minute of pray before the hearing" by Ms. Fleming suggest a fetch to the biblical: "Thou shalt not steal" and therefore "Thou shalt know that SC Base Load Review Act does not apply to the non-base load nuclear plant in Jenkinsville, SC"
17. It is very sad that the lawyers appearing before PSC had not presented even a basic knowledge (being a lay person) in physics and ability to read and review importance of the BLRA definition in these terrible overcharges to the victims of this SCANA scandal. The process of Enron's liquidation lasted a few years; here, SCANA is still stealing, and has being since 2008, blessed by the ORS and protested by million of victims.
18. If a grade in this paralegal / physics review process and resulting decisions were be given, it would be an "F" for failure, using any scale of popular education.
19. Direct victims of this SCANA fraud are: SCE&G ratepayers, including up to 600,000 retirees, 420,000 (30,000 in poverty) SC veterans, military and other families with

children, the state and national economy, sabotage of the Nuclear Renaissance. In jeopardy/the indirect/perspective victims would be Southeast electricity users, SCE&G employees, Bank of America and other banks, SCANA share- and SCE&G bond owner/holders, misled SC Legislators and Commissioners.

20. Keeping this madness of FCA of BLRA, now at 9th time, is in nobody interest except the white-collar criminals. Of course it is more than 2nd chance to correct this error.
21. Based on the information provided above in accordance with common law/justice, the Commission's rules of procedure, I request to participate in this proceeding as an intervenor. I will not unreasonably broaden the issues, burden the record, or unreasonably delay the proceeding. The truth is simple and justice must be served = i.e. The SCANA (NYSE:SCG) scandal shall be ended; money returned to victims with appropriate punitive damages.

Respectfully submitted,

Joseph Wojcicki .
Copy served to

West Columbia,

July 5, 2016

Office of Regulatory Stuff 1401 Main St, S. 900 Columbia, SC 29201	K. Chad Burgess , Matthew W. Gissendanner SCE&G / SCANA 220 Operation Way, MC Cayce, SC 29033	eMailed to 4 intervenors
---	--	-----------------------------